

Saffloflavonesides A and B, Two Rearranged Derivatives of Flavonoid C-glycosides with a Furan–Tetrahydrofuran Ring from *Carthamus tinctorius*

Jun He,^{†,‡} Ya-Nan Yang,[†] Jian-shuang Jiang,[†] Zi-Ming Feng,[†] and Pei-Cheng Zhang^{*,†}

[†]State Key Laboratory of Bioactive Substance and Function of Natural Medicines, Institute of Materia Medica, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100050, P. R. China

[‡]Department of Pharmacy, China–Japan Friendship Hospital, Beijing 100029, P. R. China

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Two new rearranged derivatives of flavonoid *C*-glycosides, saffloflavonesides A (1) and B (2), were isolated from the florets of *Carthamus tinctorius*. Their structures were determined using UV, IR, HRESIMS, and 1D and 2D NMR data and by comparing experimental and calculated electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra. Compounds 1 and 2 were unprecedented chalcone and flavanone derivatives possessing a furan conjoining tetrahydrofuran ring. A potential biosynthetic pathway was proposed. At 10 μ M, 1 and 2 both showed strong inhibitory activity against PC12 cell damage induced by rotenone.





Figure 1. Structures of saffloflavonesides A (1) and B (2).



and flavanone derivatives sharing a furan with a tetrahydrofuran ring substituent. This unusual substituent may be derived from the rearrangement of a *C*-glycoside, and is an important building block for the synthesis of structurally diverse *C*-glycoside derivatives. At 10 μ M, 1 and 2 showed strong inhibitory activity against PC12 cell damage induced by rotenone.

Compound 1 was obtained as a pale yellow powder. Its molecular formula $C_{21}H_{18}O_9$ was deduced from the $[M + Na]^+$ ion at m/z 437.0849 (calcd 437.0843) from HRESIMS analysis, corresponding to 13 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxy groups (3273 cm⁻¹), carbonyl groups (1627 cm⁻¹), and aromatic rings (1604 and 1513 cm⁻¹).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) showed a pair of obvious trans-olefinic proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.04 (1H, d, J = 15.5 Hz) and 7.85 (1H, d, J = 15.5 Hz), aromatic proton signals in an AA'BB' system at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.62 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz) and 6.88 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), and a phenolic hydroxy proton resonance at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 10.19 (1H, br s), which suggested the existence of a trans-phydroxycinnamoyl group in 1. Furthermore, an olefinic proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.92 (1H, s), three oxymethenyl proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.73 (1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz), 4.30 (1H, m), and 4.24 (1H, m), and two oxymethylene protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.12 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 4.5 Hz) and 3.75 (1H, dd, J = 9.0, 2.5 Hz) were observed. Additionally, a characteristic downfield proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 14.42 (1H, s) suggested the presence of an internal hydrogen bond attributable to 6-OH. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 exhibited a total of 21 carbon signals (Table 1), and the carbon resonances for a chalcone skeleton were observed: a carbonyl

Received: September 21, 2014 Published: October 21, 2014

	1		2	
no.	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$
1		101.1		
2		146.9	5.49, dd (2.5, 12.0)	79.3
3		110.7		
3ax			3.12, dd (12.0, 16.5)	43.5
3eq			2.69, dd (2.5, 16.5)	
4		148.5		188.0
5		128.5		146.6
6		154.7		111.8
7		189.5		145.4
8	8.04, d (15.5)	121.6		128.1
9	7.85, d (15.5)	144.4		150.7
10				101.5
1'		126.1		129.2
2′,6′	7.62, d (8.5)	131.0	7.38, d (8.5)	128.2
3',5'	6.88, d (8.5)	116.6	6.79, d (8.5)	114.9
4′		160.8		157.4
1″	6.92, s	103.6	6.87, s	102.2
2″		153.4		154.1
3″	4.73, d (6.5)	77.0	4.64, d (6.0)	76.5
4″	4.30, m	75.6	4.21, overlap	74.4
5″	4.24, m	71.2	4.21, overlap	70.3
6″α				
	4.12, dd (9.0, 4.5)	73.3	4.08, dd (9.0, 4.0)	72.5
$6''\beta$	3.75, dd (9.0, 2.5)		3.66, dd (9.0, 2.5)	
4-OH	10.19, brs			
6-OH	14.42, s			
7-OH			10.43, brs	
8-OH			8.33, brs	
4'-OH	10.19, brs		9.53, brs	
4″-OH	5.29, brs		5.15, brs	
5″-OH	5.09, brs		5.00, brs	
¹ H NMR (500 MHz) (δ in ppm, J in Hz), ¹³ C NMR (125 MHz).				

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR Assignments for 1 and 2 in DMSO- d_6

carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 189.5, a pair of olefinic carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 121.6 and 144.4, and 12 aromatic carbon signals.⁶ Intriguingly, the six remaining carbon signals included a pair of olefinic carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 146.9 and 103.6 and four carbon signals bearing oxygen at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.0, 75.6, 73.3, and 71.2. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2), the cross peaks between the oxymethylene proton signal H-6" at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.12 and 3.75 and C-3", C-4", and C-5", as well as the correlations of H-4" with H-3" and H-5" and that of H-5" with H-6", in the ¹H-¹H COSY experiment revealed the presence of a tetrahydrofuran ring (ring D) in **1**, and the cross peaks of H-1" at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.92 and C-2, C-3, and C-4 and H-3" at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.73 and C-1" as well as the



Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations of 1 and 2.

remaining single degree of unsaturation implied that 1 contained another furan ring (ring C). However, no proton signal and four downfield carbon signals in addition to those of C-1 and C-3 in ring A were observed in the NMR spectrum of 1, suggesting that ring-A of 1 was tetra-oxygenated. Thus, compound 1 was confirmed to be a chalcone sharing an uncommon tetrahydrofuran—furan ring.

Because ROESY correlations in five-membered rings are normally not sufficiently reliable when determining the orientation of the protons at adjacent carbons, the absolute configurations of C-3", C-4", and C-5" of the tetrahydrofuran ring D in 1 were established by the plausible biogenetic pathway and by comparison of the experimental CD spectrum with calculated ECD data. The unique biogenetic origin of the tetrahydrofuran-furan ring in 1 can plausibly be traced back to a flavonoid C-glycoside isolated from C. tinctorius. Thus, the chirality at C-4" and C-5" in 1 was determined be the same, 4''R and 5''R, as the corresponding carbons of D-glucose because the configurations at C-4" and C-5" remained unchanged during rearrangement of the C-glycoside. Furthermore, the absolute configuration of C-3" in 1 was established by comparison of the experimental CD spectrum and the calculated ECD data. Therefore, only two diastereoisomers (1a, 3"S,4"R,5"R; 1b, 3"R,4"R,5"R) remained (Figure S1, Supporting Information). A systematic conformational analysis was performed for 1a and 1b using a MMFF94 molecular mechanics force field calculation. The optimized conformations of 1a and 1b were obtained (Tables S1 and S2, Supporting Information) using the time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) method at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The overall calculated ECD spectra of 1a and 1b were generated by Boltzmann weighting of their lowest energy conformers. The overall pattern of the calculated ECD spectrum of 1a attributable to the 3"S-diastereomer was consistent with the experimental data for 1 throughout the entire range of wavelengths under investigation (Figure 3). The



Figure 3. Experimental ECD spectrum of 1 and calculated ECD of 1a and 1b in MeOH.

correlation of H-3" with H-4" and H-5" in the ROESY experiment (Figure 4) supported this conclusion. Therefore, the absolute configuration of 1 was established as 3"S,4"R,5"R. The structure of 1 was determined to be that depicted, and compound 1 was named saffloflavoneside A.

Compound **2** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its IR spectrum showed absorptions for hydroxy, carbonyl, and aromatic ring groups at 3313, 1655, 1597, and 1518 cm⁻¹, respectively. The UV spectrum exhibited absorptions at 248 and 310 nm. The same molecular formula as **1**, $C_{21}H_{18}O_{9}$, was



Figure 4. Key ROESY correlations of 1.

deduced on the basis of the HRESIMS at m/z 415.1032 [M + H]⁺ and 437.0850 [M + Na]⁺, indicating that **2** is an isomer of **1**.

The NMR data for 2 (Table 1) were similar to the data for 1 except for the obvious differences resulting from C₃ being part of the flavone $C_6 - C_3 - C_6$ skeleton. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** (Table 1), the characteristic aliphatic proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.69 (1H, dd, J = 16.5 and 2.5 Hz), 3.12 (1H, dd, J = 16.5 and 12.0 Hz), and 5.49 (1H, dd, J = 2.5 and 12.0 Hz) for H-3eq, H-3ax, and H-2, respectively, as well as two corresponding carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 43.5 and 79.3 (Table 1) in the HSQC spectrum suggested that the aglycon of **2** was flavanone.⁷ The absence of a proton signal and four downfield carbon signals in addition to C-1 and C-3 in ring A, similar to 1, were observed in the NMR spectrum of 2, which suggested that 2 possesses a tetraoxygenated ring A. The absence of obvious downfield hydroxy signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** and the presence of more upfield carbonyl carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 188.0 in the $^{13}{
m C}$ NMR spectrum of 2 confirmed that a furan ring (ring D) formed at C-5 rather than C-7 of flavanone.⁸

The absolute configurations of C-3", C-4", and C-5" in tetrahydrofuran ring A in 2 were determined to be the same, 3''S, 4''R, and 5''R, as in 1 according to its plausible biogenetic pathway. Considering that the cotton effects at C-2 and C-3" may have interfered with the respective CD spectra, a comparison of the experimental CD spectrum and the calculated ECD data was applied to confirm the absolute configuration of C-2 in 2. A systematic conformational analysis and optimization was performed for 2a (2S,3"S,4"R,5"R) and **2b** (2*R*,3"*S*,4"*R*,5"*R*) (Figure S5 and Tables S3 and S5, Supporting Information) using the same method used for 1. Comparison of the theoretically calculated and experimental ECD curves (Figure 5) permitted the assignment of the absolute configuration of 2 as 2S,3"S,4"R,5"R. Thus, 2 was determined to have the depicted structure and was named saffloflavoneside B.

Flavonoid *C*-glycosides are considered to be among the characteristic constituents of *C. tinctorius*, and 11 quinochalcone *C*-glycosides have been isolated.^{4a-c} Structurally, **1** and **2** are rearranged derivatives of flavonoid *C*-glycoside. Their most intriguing feature is the existence of an unusual furan–tetrahydrofuran ring. We isolated several rearranged derivatives of flavonoid *C*-glycoside with six–five member and five–five member dioxaspirocycle moieties from *C. tinctorius*^{4a} and



Figure 5. Experimental ECD spectrum of 2 and calculated ECD of 2a and 2b in MeOH.

Crataegus pinnatifida Bge. var. *major* N.E.Br.⁹ Obviously, **1** and **2** represent a novel type of rearranged derivative of flavonoid *C*-glycosides. A plausible biogenetic pathway is proposed and shown in Scheme 1. The furan ring is potentially

Scheme 1. Hypothetical Biogenetic Pathway of 1 and 2



derived from the *C*-glycoside flavone through the ring opening, a pinacol-type hydride shift,¹⁰ intramolecular nucleophilic addition, and dehydration. The formation of the tetrahydrofuran moiety with the 3"S configuration is followed by intramolecular nucleophilic substitution involving neighboring group (4"-OH) participation. This unusual scaffold is also important as a building block for the synthesis of structurally diverse *C*-glycoside derivatives.

In the in vitro neuroprotective assays,¹¹ 1 and 2 exhibited significant inhibition against PC12 cell damage induced by rotenone. Compared with the cell viability of $54.1 \pm 2.8\%$ in the model, 1 and 2 showed increased viability of $60.1 \pm 2.6\%$ and $69.5 \pm 3.7\%$ at 10 μ M, respectively, using coenzyme Q10 as a positive control (cell viability of $59.0 \pm 2.2\%$).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures, physicochemical properties, 1D and 2D NMR, MS, and IR spectra, and the ECD calculation data of compounds 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pczhang@imm.ac.cn. Tel: +86-10-63165231. Fax: 86-10-63017757.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Lin Ma (Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College) for the plant identification and Ms. Yinghong Wang (Analytical and Testing Center, Institute of Materia Medica, Peking Union Medical College and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences) for conducting the NMR experiments. This project was supported by the National Science and Technology Project of China (2012ZX09301002-001003) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Institutes (No. 2012ZD03).

REFERENCES

(1) Zhang, P. C. *The Chemistry of Flavonoids*; Chemical Industry Press: Beijing, 2009; pp 83–226.

(2) Middleton, E.; Kandaswami, C.; Theoharides, T. C. Pharmacol. Rev. 2000, 52, 673-751.

(3) Zheng, H. Z.; Dong, Z. H.; She, J. Modern Study of Traditional Chinese Medicine; Xue Yuan Press: Beijing, 1998; Vol.3, pp 2057–2082.

(4) (a) Jiang, J. S.; He, J.; Feng, Z. M.; Zhang, P. C. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1196–1199. (b) Kazuma, K.; Takahashi, T.; Sato, K.; Takeuchi, H.; Matsumoto, T.; Okuno, T. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 2000, 64, 1588–1599. (c) Takahashi, Y.; Miyasaka, N.; Tasaka, S.; Miura, I.; Urano, S.; Ikura, M.; Hikichi, K.; Matsumoto, T.; Wada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 5163–5166. (d) Hattori, M.; Huang, X. L.; Che, Q. M.; Kawata, Y.; Tezuka, Y.; Kikuchi, T.; Namba, T. Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 4001–4004. (e) Li, Y. M.; Che, Q. M. Acta Pharm. Sin. 1998, 33, 626–628. (f) Li, F.; He, Z. S.; Ye, Y. Chin. J. Chem. 2002, 20, 699–702. (g) Lee, J. Y.; Chang, E. J.; Kim, H. J.; Park, J. H.; Choi, A. W. Arch Pharm. Res. 2002, 25, 313–319. (h) Roh, J. S.; Han, J. Y.; Kim, J. H.; Hwang, J. K. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 2004, 27, 1976–1978. (i) Ahmed, K. M.; Marzouk, M. S.; El-Khrisy, E. A. M.; Wahab, S. A. Pharmazie 2000, 55, 621–622. (j) Novruzov, E. N.; Shamsizada, L. A. Chem. Nat. Compd. 1998, 34, 514–515.

(5) (a) Shan, L. Q.; Ma, S.; Qiu, X. C.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zheng, L. H.; Ren, P. C.; Wang, Y. C.; Fan, Q. Y.; Ma, B. A. *BMC Neurosci.* 2010, *11*, 98–106. (b) Wei, X. B.; Liu, H. Q.; Sun, X.; Fu, F. H.; Zhang, X. M.; Wang, J.; An, J.; Ding, H. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2005, *386*, 58–62. (c) Tian, J. W.; Li, G. S.; Liu, Z. F.; Fu, F. H. *Pharmacology* 2008, *82*, 121–126. (d) Nie, P. H.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W. H.; Rong, W. F.; Zhi, J. M. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2012, *139*, 746–750.

(6) Rao, Y. K.; Fang, S. H.; Tzeng, Y. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 2679–2686.

(7) Chen, J.; Montanari, A. M. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998, 46, 1235–1238.

(8) Li, S. M.; Lo, C. Y.; Ho, C. T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 4176-4185.

(9) Zhang, P. C.; Xu, S. X. Phytochemistry 2001, 57, 1249-1253.

(10) Sato, S.; Miura, M.; Sekito, T.; Kumazawa, T. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2008, 27, 86–102.

(11) Lin, Y. L.; Lee, Y. C.; Huang, C. L.; Lai, W. L.; Lin, Y. R.; Huang, N. K. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2007, 109, 428–433.